

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 196 OF 2001

940906

PEOPLE'S UNION FOR CIVIL LIBERTIES

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for interim relief and interim directions and extension of time, directions and permission to place additional documents on record and modification of Court's order dated 07.10.2004 and office report) (For further consideration)


WITH

S.L.P.(C) NO. 17906 of 2003 - (With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and permission to place addl. documents on record and exemption from filing O.T. and office report)

Date: 14/02/2006 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK BHAN  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.H. KAPADIA



For Petitioner(s) :  
In WP(C)196

Mr. Colin Gonsalves, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Aparna Bhat, Adv.  
Mr. P. Ramesh Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Anoop Srivastava, Adv.  
Ms. Poja Choudhary, Adv.

In SLP(C)17906

Mr. Rajesh Tyagi, Adv.  
Dr. Aparana Bhardwaj, Adv.  
for Mr. Praveen Jain, Adv.

Respondent(s)/appearing parties :

For UOI

Mr. Mohan Parasaran, ASG  
Ms. Sunita Sharma, Adv.  
Ms. Sushma Suri, Adv.  
Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.  
Mr. V.K. Verma, Adv.  
Mr. B.V. Balram Das, Adv.  
Mr. Gaurav Dhingra, Adv.  
Mr. Senthilvelan, Adv.  
Mr. Chiddanand D.L., Adv.

For Impleading parties

Mr. N.M. Varghese, Adv.  
Ms. Tessy Paul, Adv.  
Mr. K.V. Mohan, Adv.

For NCT of Delhi  
/o Food & Civil supplies

Mr. Ashok Bhan, Adv.  
Ms. Anil Katiyar, Adv.

- For State of Andhra Pradesh Mrs. D. Bharathi Reddy, Adv.
- For State of Arunachal Pradesh Mr. Anil Shrivastava, Adv.  
Mr. Saurabh Srivastava, Adv.
- For State of Assam Ms. Krishna Sarma, Adv.  
Mr. V.K. Sidatharan, Adv.  
Mr. Riku Sarma, Adv.  
For M/s. Corporate Law Group, Adv.
- For State of Bihar Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.
- For State of Chhatisgarh Ms. Suparna Srivastava, Adv.  
Ms. Deepti Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Rajesh Srivastava, Adv.
- For State of Goa Ms. A. Subhashini, Adv.
- For State of Gujarat Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Adv.  
Ms. Shivangi, Adv.
- For State of Haryana Mr. Manjeet Singh, Adv.  
Mr. T.V. George, Adv.
- For State of HP Mr. J.S. Attri, AAG, HP
- For State of J&K Mr. Anis Suhrawardy, Adv.
- For State of Jharkhand Mr. Gopal Prasad, Adv.
- For State of Karnataka Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, Adv.  
Mr. Anil K. Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. A. Rohen Singh, Adv.
- For State of Kerala Mr. Ramesh Babu M.R., Adv.
- For State of Maharashtra Mr. Ravindra K. Adsure, Adv.
- For State of Manipur Mr. KH. Nobin Singh, Adv.
- For State of Meghalaya Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.
- For State of Nagaland Mr. U. Hazarika, Adv.  
Mr. Satya Mitra, Adv.  
Ms. Sumita Hazarika, Adv.
- For State of Pondicherry Mr. V.G. Pragasan, Adv.
- For State of Pb. Mr. Sarup Singh, Sr. AAG, Punjab  
Mr. Arun K. Sinha, Adv.
- For St. of Mizoram Mr. K.N. Madhusoodhanan, Adv.  
Mr. R. Sathish, Adv.
- For State of Rajasthan Mr. Aruneshwar Gupta, AAG, Raj.  
Mr. Naveen Kumar Singh, Adv.

8/1/22

For State of Sikkim	Mr. A. Mariarputhan, Adv. Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv. for M/s. Arputhan, Aruna & Co., Advts.
For State of Tripura	Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv. Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.
For UT of Chandigarh	Ms. Kamini Jaiswal, Adv. Ms. Shonila Bakshi, Adv.
For State of Uttaranchal	Ms. Rachana Srivastava, AAG
For State of WB	Mr. Tara Chandra Sharma, adv. Ms. Neelan Sharma, Adv. Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Adv.
For State of U.P.	Mr. Pahadia, Sr. Adv. Mr. Ashok Srivastava, Adv. Mr. Anuvrat Sharma, Adv.  Mr. Prashant Kumar, Adv. Ms. Indra Sawhney, Adv.
State of M.P.	Mr. B.S. Banthia, Adv.
State of TamilNadu	Mr. Subranonim Prasad, Adv. Mr. R.Gopal Krishanan, Adv.  Mr. Jana Kalyan Das, Adv.  Mr. R.K. Maheshwari, Adv. Mr. S.V. Deshpande, Adv. Mr. Prakash Shrivastava, Adv. Mr. Vishwajit Singh, Adv. Mr. Ashok Mathur, Adv. Mr. Jitendra Kumar Bhatia, Adv.
In SLP(C)17906	Mr. N.K. Verma, Adv. Ms. Sarla Chandra, Adv.
For R.No.2	Mr. Anit Pawan, Adv. Mr. Irshad Ahmad, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
ORDER

Counsel for the parties state that a settlement has been arrived at between the petitioner and Ministry of Rural Development and the Ministry of Consumer Affairs, Food and Public Distribution, Government of India. The terms of which are as follows:

1. Food grain allocations by the Central Government to beneficiaries under TPDS will be continued to be made at the

present to States on the basis of Planning commission estimates of 1993-94 poverty rations, which is at 35% applied to the population projections of the Registrar General of India as on 1.3.2000 or on the basis of families identified and issued ration cards by the State Government whichever is less.

(4)

2.The survey methodology for the next BPL census will be designed by the Ministry of Rural Development in consultation with the Supreme Court Commissioners in the right to food matter Case No. 196/2001 along with other sections of the society latest by the beginning of the XI Five Year Plan.

3.Provisions will be made to allow new names to be added and ineligible names deleted from the BPL List 2002 on a continuous basis during the period that the list will be applicable."

(7)

In view of the afore-stated settlement arrived at between the parties, counsel for the petitioner states that additional affidavit, as directed by this Court on 15.12.2005 is not required to be filed.

Counsel for the parties further state that I.A. Nos.25, 26, 31, 32, 48 and 53 may be disposed of in terms of the settlement arrived at between the parties. Ordered accordingly.

The stay order dated 5<sup>th</sup> May, 2003 is vacated.

Adjourned by four weeks.

*Parveen Kr. Chawla*  
14/2/06

(Parveen Kr. Chawla)  
Court Master

*Kanwal Singh*  
14/2-06

(Kanwal Singh)  
Court Master

P.14/2